



Cabinet

MINUTES of the OPEN section of the Cabinet held on Tuesday 22 March 2011 at 4.00 pm at Town Hall, Peckham Road, London SE5 8UB

PRESENT: Councillor Peter John (Chair)
Councillor Ian Wingfield
Councillor Fiona Colley
Councillor Dora Dixon-Fyle
Councillor Barrie Hargrove
Councillor Richard Livingstone
Councillor Catherine McDonald
Councillor Abdul Mohamed
Councillor Veronica Ward

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies for lateness were received from Councillor Catherine McDonald.

2. NOTIFICATION OF ANY OTHER ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

The chair gave notice that the following late items would be considered for reasons for urgency to be specified in the relevant minute:

Item 19 – Youth Employment Fund

Item 21 – Admission Arrangements for Community Primary Schools, Nursery Schools and Classes – September 2012

Item 22 – Southwark Coordinated Schemes for Secondary, Primary and In year Admissions 2012

3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

Councillor Fiona Colley declared a personal non prejudicial interest in item 10 Canada Water Area Action Plan – Publication Submission Version as she lived within the area covered by the action plan.

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Two public questions had been received. The questioners were not in attendance, the chair therefore read out the questions and answers were given by the relevant cabinet members as detailed below:

Public question from Mr Jerry Hewitt:

“Hawkstone Estate was informed on 17/02/2011 that the “2 year” Major Works “frameworks” programme is “on hold” after starting in June 2010 (9 months after the exclusive “launch” event!) When will the equality impact assessments be updated and when will major works to each block be completed? “

Answer of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing Management:

“Given the council’s range of statutory responsibilities, it is inappropriate to continue implementing a decision when new material information comes to light without fully considering that information. We find ourselves in that position at Hawkstone Estate, where pilot works by the council’s major works partnering contractor indicates that the works proposed to the maisonette blocks will be disproportionately expensive and so disruptive that they cannot be undertaken with the properties occupied.

The cabinet is due to conclude its review of the housing investment strategy in May. One of the strands under consideration is how to deal with estates that have particularly high project costs of refurbishment; where there is a need to assess whether alternative solutions may be more appropriate than straightforward investment works. Given the circumstances outlined above, it is likely that Hawkstone Estate will be worthy of consideration. We will of course use the feasibility work already undertaken for Hawkstone, which will mean we can progress fairly rapidly, and in advance of the May cabinet report.

Although I am unable to confirm when major works will be completed, I can confirm that equalities impact will be considered as part of the process described.”

Public question from Mr Adrian Smith:

“The Southwark Plan allocates the site at 525-539 Old Kent Road as within the Old Kent Road action area and indicates that ‘development within this area should seek the creation of housing and increase the range of employment uses’. How does item 16 of your agenda meet with these expectations? “

Answer of the Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Corporate Strategy:

“The questioner is quoting from the Southwark Plan Policy 7.3 ‘Old Kent Road Action Area’. This sets out a vision for the area with a number of aims for the Old Kent Road Action Area. These include the aim to create high quality housing and increase the range of employment uses but also the aim to maintain and increase small scale town centre uses including community and health uses.

It is not the intention that all developments would achieve all of these aims at once. This would, in any case, not be possible as smaller sites would not be able to accommodate the full range of uses that we are seeking to encourage in the area.

However, plans have been discussed which include employment generating workshop units on the rear part of the site.

The vision for the area set out in policy 7.3 should also be read in combination with other policies in the Plan which includes policies to encourage development of community facilities.

Policy 7.3 will be replaced by the Core Strategy Strategic Policy 2 – area vision for Old Kent Road action area. This says that, as part of the overall vision for the places within Southwark, we are aiming to balance providing as many homes as possible with growth of other activities that create successful places. This will include the provision of community facilities such as places of worship.

Strategic Policy 4 in the Core Strategy refers to 'Places for Learning, Enjoyment and Healthy Lifestyles' states that there will be a wide range of well used community facilities that provide spaces for many different communities and activities in accessible areas. This will be achieved by, among other things, facilitating a network of community facilities that meet the needs of local communities. In a 'fact box' attached to the policy we include places of worship in the list of community facilities.

Any application for planning permission made by the organisation seeking to purchase this property will be considered with regard to the development plan which will include the Core Strategy and the saved policies from the Southwark Plan and any other material considerations. The decision would be taken by the planning committee, the community council by officers under delegated powers and in any case would not be a decision of the cabinet.”

5. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the open minutes of the meetings held on 8 February, 15 February (reconvened meeting) and 28 February 2011 be approved as correct records and signed by the Chair.

6. DEPUTATION REQUESTS

The deputation spokesperson Ms Edith Fekarurhobo addressed the meeting and outlined residents concerns relating to item 18 on the agenda 'Mid Elmington regeneration programme'.

It was felt by the deputation that the report was not robust enough, and did not put enough emphasis on regeneration and appeared to be more of a redevelopment. Concern was expressed over the procurement route as it was felt that this locked down criteria for further regeneration.

The deputation felt that the officer report did provide a clear strategy in respect of the regeneration and social elements of the programme. Concerns were expressed in respect of there being no recommendations or priorities set out in respect of vulnerable households and households where for example there were 1st – 4th generation family members living together. The deputation also felt that there was lack of reference to independent financial assessment for leaseholders. The deputation raised the issue of the current appearance of the estate and requested temporary work as an interim measure to improve the environment for local residents.

RESOLVED:

That the comments of the deputation be noted.

7. CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2010-19 QUARTER 3 MONITORING REPORT

RESOLVED:

1. That the current monitoring position for the capital programme 2010/11 – 2018/19 for the general fund and 2010/11 – 2015/16 housing investment programme (appendices A and B of the report) as at 31 December 2010 be noted.
2. That approval be given to the addition of budgets into the programme, matched by additional funding secured (appendix C of the report).
3. That given the level of reprogramming from the current financial year into 2011/12, no new capital commitments be commenced until approval of the capital programme 2011/12 – 2020/21 report by council assembly in July 2011, without prior agreement of the finance director and cabinet member for finance, resources and community safety.

8. SCRUTINY REPORT - REVIEW OF KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS IN THE HOUSING REPAIRS SERVICE

The chair of the Housing and Community Safety scrutiny sub-committee, Councillor Gavin Edwards presented the report.

RESOLVED:

That the recommendations of the review of key performance indicators in the housing repairs service undertaken by the housing and community safety scrutiny sub-committee (attached as appendix 1 to the report) be noted, and the deputy leader and cabinet member for housing management bring back a report to respond to the overview and scrutiny committee by 17 May 2011.

9. CORE STRATEGY FINAL ADOPTION

Comments of the planning committee held on 21 March 2011 in respect of this item were circulated at the meeting.

RESOLVED:

That council assembly be recommended to:

1. Consider the binding report of the Planning Inspector on the Core Strategy - final draft February 2011 (appendix B of the report) incorporating the binding recommendations of the Inspector.
2. Consider the final Core Strategy 2011 (appendix A of the report), sustainability adoption statement (appendix C of the report), consultation report (appendix D of the report) sustainability appraisal (appendix E of the report), equalities impact assessment (appendix F of the report) and appropriate assessment (appendix G of the report).
3. Consider the comments of the planning committee (appendix H of the report – circulated at the meeting) on the Core Strategy 2011 and the Inspector's report (appendix B of the report).
4. Adopt the Core Strategy – final 2011 (appendix A of the report) incorporating the binding recommendations of the Inspector.

NOTE: In accordance with overview and scrutiny procedure rule 22.1(a) (budget and policy framework) these decisions are not subject to call-in.

10. CANADA WATER AREA ACTION PLAN - PUBLICATION/SUBMISSION VERSION

Comments of the planning committee held on 21 March 2011 in respect of this item were circulated at the meeting.

RESOLVED:

1. That council assembly be recommended to:
 - i. Consider the further changes to the Canada Water Area Action Plan Publication/Submission Version (dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) (appendix A of the report), including comments from planning committee, the plan for publicising the further changes (appendix B of the report), sustainability appraisal (appendix C of the report) and equality impact assessment (appendix D of the report).
 - ii. Agree to publish the further changes to the Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) Publication/Submission Version (dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) before submission to the Secretary of State.

- iii. Approve the further changes to the Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) Publication/Submission Version (dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) for publication and submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government provided no substantive changes are necessary following consultation.
 - iv. Delegate the approval of any minor non-substantive amendments resulting from its meeting or consultation on the further changes to the Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) publication/submission version (dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) to the director for regeneration and neighbourhoods in consultation with the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy before submission to Secretary of State.
2. That the update on two further factual changes in circumstances at Canada Water which may necessitate further revisions to the Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) as set out below be noted:
 - i. The recent announcement by Daily Mail & General Trust that it is consulting on a proposal to relocate its print works from Harmsworth Quays to a site in Thurrock;
 - ii. The Department for Education (DfE) formally wrote to the council in November 2010 informing us that a new secondary school in Rotherhithe would no longer receive funding support through Building Schools for the Future. Recently the council received further information from the DfE suggesting the government had still allocated the full £19.6m to the Rotherhithe school project. The council has written to the DfE seeking urgent confirmation about whether it is being given the funds to move forward with a new secondary school for Rotherhithe.
 3. That it be noted that officers have proposed to the Planning Inspectorate that the council publishes amendments to the Area Action Plan (AAP) which address these issues in November 2011 and that the examination-in-public be delayed to ensure that any amendments can be considered by the Planning Inspector.

NOTE: In accordance with overview and scrutiny procedure rule 22.1(a) (budget and policy framework) these decisions are not subject to call-in.

11. UPDATE TO THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN STANDARDS - SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

Comments of the planning committee held on 21 March 2011 in respect of this item were circulated at the meeting.

RESOLVED:

1. That the following be agreed for consultation:
 - The update to the residential design standards supplementary planning document (appendix A) including comments from planning committee

- The consultation plan (appendix B)
- The equalities impact assessment (appendix C)

2. That the comments of the planning committee be noted.

12. SOUTHWARK'S OLYMPIC AND PARALYMPIC VISION

RESOLVED:

That the existing 'Olympic Vision Statement' that was ratified on 20 August 2008 be replaced with a newly created 'Southwark's London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Vision' as set out in Appendix 1 of the report.

13. ADOPTION OF THE LONDON 2012 OLYMPIC AND PARALYMPIC DESIGNATION

RESOLVED:

That the council enter into the Host Borough Co-operation and Licence Agreement, so that the council may utilise the London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic 'host Borough' designation.

14. GATEWAY 2: FRAMEWORK CONTRACTS TO PROVIDE BAILIFF SERVICES TO THE REVENUES & BENEFITS AND PARKING SERVICES

RESOLVED:

That the award of a place in the bailiffs framework agreement to the five suppliers named in the closed report for a period of four years, at a nominal annual cost of £1.35M between them, being a total cost of £5.4M over the four years (see paragraph 8 of the report for reasons a nominal cost is used) be approved.

15. DISPOSAL OF 13 DESENFANS ROAD, LONDON SE21 7DN

RESOLVED:

1. That the head of property be authorised to dispose of the council's freehold interest in 13 Desenfans Road, SE21 (the "Property"), for a sum that equates to the best consideration that can reasonably be obtained.
2. That the earmarking of the capital receipt for the purposes of funding the housing investment programme be approved.

16. SITE OF 525-539 OLD KENT ROAD, LONDON SE15 5EW

RESOLVED:

1. That the council dispose of its freehold interest in the site known as 525-539 Old Kent Road SE1 5EW ("the Site") to the Muslim Association of Nigeria (UK) ("the Association") for the consideration reported in the closed version of the report, subject to the Association obtaining a satisfactory planning consent to construct premises for religious worship purposes.
2. That the agreement between the council and Esso Petroleum in relation to the disposal of the Site and the division of the proceeds of sale as set out in paragraphs 10 and 11 of the report be noted.
3. That authority be delegated to the head of property to agree the detailed terms and appropriate mechanics to effect the transaction.

17. MOTIONS REFERRED FROM COUNCIL ASSEMBLY

Educational Maintenance Allowance

RESOLVED:

1. That it be noted that over 20,000 young people in Southwark have benefitted from the educational maintenance allowance ('EMA') which provides financial support to young people from financially disadvantaged backgrounds and enables them to afford to remain in post-16 education.
2. That it be noted that before the 2010 general election both the Conservative and Liberal Democrat parties promised to retain the EMA.
3. That in the circumstances cabinet bitterly regrets the Conservative/Liberal Democrat coalition government's decision to withdraw the EMA to new students from January 2011 and existing students from July 2011.
4. Cabinet believes that the withdrawal of the EMA will have a significant and disproportionately adverse impact on the educational and life chances of thousands of young people in Southwark and will result in many more young people leaving full-time education at the age of 16.
5. Cabinet notes and regrets the Conservative/Liberal Democrat coalition government attacks on young peoples' education through increasing university tuition fees, ceasing Bookstart and the withdrawal of the sport in schools programme and calls on the government to reconsider its approach and to invest in our young peoples' future rather than reduce services to them.
6. Cabinet also notes that the government's Adviser on Access to High Education, Rt. Hon Simon Hughes MP, avoided the chance to prevent this attack on young people's education by voting with the government to abolish the EMA and by failing to vote

against the trebling in university tuition fees.

Cabinet agrees to and calls upon relevant cabinet members:

7. To oppose the abolition of the educational maintenance allowance by the Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition government from the 1 January 2011.
8. To lobby the Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition government and the newly appointed advocate for access to higher education, Simon Hughes MP, to reconsider the abolition of the education maintenance allowance.
9. To secure the support of the three Southwark Members of Parliament to oppose the abolition of the education maintenance allowance.
10. To use all appropriate means to publicise the withdrawal of the EMA to young people in higher education in Southwark, particularly those from low-income families who rely on the allowance to remain in education and to ensure Southwark's young people are informed of any alternative financial provision in place to help them to continue in post 16 education.
11. That it be noted that the Council is setting up a Youth Fund which will be aimed in part, to mitigate some of the impact of the government's abolition of the EMA.

Southwark Council tribute to Heroes

RESOLVED:

1. That the council recognises and thanks the people of Southwark for all they have done to support the armed forces and to raise funds for Help for Heroes and Homes for Heroes.
2. That it be noted that currently all servicemen and women who die in Afghanistan, are brought to Bermondsey before making their final journey to their resting places.
3. That it be agreed that the council works with the relevant parties involved to facilitate an appropriate tribute from Southwark to recognise those who lose their lives whilst serving our country and make their final journey from our borough.
4. That it be noted that some progress has been made with Mr Albin Dyer about some of his proposals.
5. That it be noted that the deputy leader and cabinet member for housing management is doing work on the homes issue and will be meeting with officers about options available. He was also meeting with a UK Homes for Heroes representative on the 4th April 2011.
6. That it be noted that the Leader of the council is making plans for a parade for Heroes in May 2011 and that discussions were taking place with Councillors Denise Capstick and Jeff Hook.

Choices that count

RESOLVED:

1. That it be noted that the London 2012 Olympics and Paralympics are now only a few months away. That it is also noted that 2012 offers our residents and particularly our young people a unique opportunity to become involved - from volunteering at a big art institution or theatre to sports and dance events and learning new skills. It is believed that 2012 is about London as a world city and that Southwark reflects the world in our communities. It is believed that it is an opportunity not to be missed.
2. That it be noted that the administration has recognised the importance of 2012 and set up a modest structure to coordinate the priority areas we have identified:
 - Engaging young people
 - Getting active, being healthy
 - Promoting volunteering and providing opportunities for business and employment
 - Offering the Southwark experience - our world class arts and culture centres linking with the community
 - Communications
 - Public services operations.
3. Cabinet believes that to succeed in setting up specific memorable projects, key organisations in the borough should be involved and that these relationships are important for the promotion of Southwark and its economy. It is noted that the Olympic Legacy Fund is being set up with the intention of raising further outside money to fund capital projects which will improve access to and increase participation in physical activity and keep Olympic values alive after 2012. It is noted that the community will be asked to submit ideas for high profile projects.
4. Cabinet believes that the community games are important for our young people and for our aspirations for 2012.
5. That it be noted that the council is determined to maximise the benefits of 2012 against a backdrop of Government cutbacks to sport – which it believes are incredible in themselves as we build up to the Olympic year. It is noted that the cuts include:
 - Working Neighbourhood Fund which funded part of the community games
 - The Schools Sports Partnership
 - The end of free swimming for young people
 - Cuts to sporting bodies.
6. That it be noted that the authority also faces unprecedented cuts to its settlement from government, forcing serious cuts across all services.

7. That it be noted however that a substantial sum has been identified to secure the games and to build up young peoples' sports for 2012 events. That it be noted that the administration will seek funding sources to secure the games for the future beyond 2012.
8. That it be noted that progress was being made on the Olympics and Community Games.

18. MID ELMINGTON REGENERATION PROGRAMME

RESOLVED:

1. That the indicative implementation programme set out at table 8 of the report for the redevelopment of sites C, D, E and G encompassing Camberwell Area Housing Office; 1-27 Benhill Road; 29-59 Benhill Road; 1-20 Houseman Way; 21-29 Houseman Way; 30-51 Houseman Way; 90-106 Benhill Road; 30-72 Lomond Grove; 1-20 Broome Way and 1-12 Flecker House, by way of a land disposal be agreed.
2. That the involvement of resident representatives to date and the future involvement in the bid evaluation as part of the land disposal process as set out at paragraph 62 of the report be noted.
3. That the allocation of housing investment programme resources to forward fund environmental improvements, leasehold acquisition, home loss and disturbance payments to council tenants and leaseholders and the costs of de-commissioning empty homes across sites D, E and G be confirmed.
4. That the following rehousing options for Elmington Council tenants displaced by redevelopment be agreed:
 - a) A permanent move via Homesearch with priority for displaced council tenants to any relets within the footprint of the Elmington estate – see appendix 1 of the report. Where replacement housing is available during the rehousing period, council tenants will be prioritised to band 1 for a permanent move to them via Homesearch.
 - b) Where replacement housing is unavailable during the rehousing period, council tenants will be offered a permanent move via Homesearch with the option to return to the estate within 5 years of their first move. The five year time period will start at the end of the identified rehousing period for each block in order to avoid penalising households who have moved early in the process. After the 5 year period is over, a review of the progress made on the scheme will be undertaken and resident rehousing opportunities will be re-examined. Band 1 priority will be given to displaced council tenants for any replacement housing forthcoming on the footprint of the Elmington estate and any relets and affordable homes for purchase (subject to qualification set by the provider) in the same area.

- c) That where households are under occupying, residents be offered the option to bid for properties one bedroom above their rehousing need.
5. That qualifying resident Elmington leaseholders displaced by redevelopment be offered:
- a) The same range of council assistance options as has been made available to Aylesbury leaseholders, as outlined at paragraphs 47 to 53 of the report.
 - b) Priority for acquisition or part acquisition of new replacement housing forthcoming on the footprint of the Elmington Estate.
 - c) Priority for acquisition or part acquisition of any relets arising in the same area (subject to qualification criteria set by the provider).
6. That the support to vulnerable households set out in paragraph 56 of the report be noted and approved.
7. That it be noted that the refurbishment of Proctor House, Brisbane Street and Flatman House is underway and that programming of Drayton House and Languard House for refurbishment will be undertaken in the council's new housing investment programme.
8. That council officers compile with the Elmington Resident Steering Group an appropriate community impact monitoring framework that can be updated regularly as part of the regeneration project.

19. YOUTH EMPLOYMENT FUND

This item had not been circulated five clear days in advance of the meeting. The chair agreed to accept the item for reasons of urgency as year 11 students (age 16 year olds) would be starting study leave around Easter time. These young people would be the primary target group for the project from September 2011. Failure to engage with them through their schools could mean that they will not be fully briefed as to the potential benefits available through this scheme. This could have a negative impact on recruitment for the council's schools and colleges for post 16 courses.

To meet the required outcomes of the Youth Fund initiative the scheme would also need to be fully embedded into established governance and administrative arrangements before September 2011.

A decision on the work streams and their applications were required at this time in order to enable appropriate lead-in time for the engagement of supportive resources and council's partner's contributions, effective communications with the target groups and establishment of the detailed administrative systems to support effective delivery.

RESOLVED:

1. That the Youth Fund is delivered through the following three initiatives to be allocated as follows in 2011/12, the distribution to be reviewed annually as part of the annual policy and resourcing strategy:
 - **Financial assistance fund for young people aged 16-18 in learning.** An allocation of £600,000 per year for the next three years to be used to provide resources such as books, equipment and travel costs for those young Southwark residents aged 16-18, in full-time education, who would have previously benefited from the Education Maintenance Allowance.
 - **Employment support for young people aged 16-19 leaving education.** An allocation of £350,000 per year for three years to provide young people in Southwark with employment support to assist the transition between secondary education and work, assist access into employment and enhance vocational learning.
 - **Financial support with university and future employment.** An allocation of up to £50,000 per year for the next three years to support young people age 18 years and over who have gained a University place but may find this difficult due to financial restraints. This will include offering paid internships in university holidays and some course require placements.

20. DEVELOPMENT OF A SOCIAL LETTINGS AGENCY SCHEME

RESOLVED:

Decisions of the Cabinet

1. That the operation of a social lettings agency scheme set out in paragraphs 50 – 61 of the report be approved.
2. That officers be authorised to acquire on lease properties for use in a Social Lettings Agency scheme and report back to cabinet with a review of the scheme's progress after a 12 month period.

Decision of the Leader of the Council

3. That the deputy leader and cabinet member for housing management be authorised to review the scheme's progress after the acquisition of the first 25, 50 and 75 properties and use his delegated authority to extend the scheme to 100 if appropriate.

21. ADMISSION ARRANGEMENTS FOR COMMUNITY PRIMARY SCHOOLS, NURSERY SCHOOLS AND CLASSES - SEPTEMBER 2012

This item had not been circulated five clear days in advance of the meeting. The chair agreed to accept the item for reasons of urgency as the next meeting of the cabinet was scheduled for 19 April 2011 and final admission arrangements needed to be confirmed to the Secretary of State by 15 April 2011 for September 2012 admissions. Admission arrangements, including the proposed changes would need to be published for parents and carers before 1 September 2011 to enable families to make their preferences and apply for primary school places for their children for September 2012 admissions. Southwark's agreed admissions criteria for 2012, including details of the published admission number for each school must be submitted to the Secretary of State by 15th April 2011. If this was not done, Southwark's admission arrangements for community primary schools in 2011 would have to remain in place for 2012. Stakeholders have been consulted on the proposed arrangements and are expecting the changes to be in place.

RESOLVED:

That the primary community schools, nursery schools and nursery classes admissions criteria for 2012 attached as Appendix 1 to the report be agreed and a statutory notice be published to reflect the proposed reduction in Planned Admission Number at Townsend school as detailed in paragraph 12 of the report.

22. SOUTHWARK COORDINATED SCHEMES FOR SECONDARY, PRIMARY AND IN YEAR ADMISSIONS 2012

This item had not been circulated five clear days in advance of the meeting. The chair agreed to accept the item for reasons of urgency as the next meeting of the cabinet was scheduled for 19 April 2011. Coordinated admission arrangements must be confirmed and submitted to the Secretary of State by 15 April 2011 for implementation in the 2012 admission round. If this was not done, Southwark's coordinated admissions arrangements in 2011 would remain in place for 2012.

RESOLVED:

That the secondary, primary, junior and in year coordinated admissions schemes for 2012 admissions attached as appendices 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the report respectively be agreed.

EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

It was moved, seconded and

RESOLVED:

That the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of the exempt information as defined in category 3 of paragraph 10.4 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules of the Southwark Constitution.

The following is a summary of the closed part of the meeting.

23. MINUTES

The minutes of the closed section of the meeting held on 15 February 2011 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

24. GATEWAY 2: FRAMEWORK CONTRACTS TO PROVIDE BAILIFF SERVICES TO THE REVENUE AND BENEFITS AND PARKING SERVICES

The cabinet considered the closed information relating to this report. See item 14 for decision.

25. SITE OF 525-539 OLD KENT ROAD, LONDON SE1 5EW

The cabinet considered the closed information relating to this report. See item 16 for decision.

26. MID ELMINGTON REGENERATION PROGRAMME

The cabinet considered the closed information relating to this report. See item 18 for decision.

The meeting ended at 6.45pm

CHAIR:

DATED:

DEADLINE FOR NOTIFICATION OF CALL-IN UNDER SECTION 21 OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES IS MIDNIGHT, THURSDAY 31 MARCH 2011.

THE ABOVE DECISIONS (WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ITEMS 9 AND 10 WHICH FORM PART OF THE BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK AND ARE THEREFORE NOT SUBJECT TO CALL-IN) WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTABLE UNTIL AFTER THAT DATE. SHOULD A DECISION OF THE CABINET BE CALLED-IN FOR SCRUTINY, THEN THE RELEVANT DECISION WILL BE HELD IN ABEYANCE PENDING THE OUTCOME OF SCRUTINY CONSIDERATION.